

From: Scott Grayston
To: <pscadminca@utah.gov>, <jorchard@utah.gov>
Date: 1/13/2009 1:33 PM
Subject: RE: PSC Response to your Comment

Thank you for your response to my letter. I was hoping that you might clarify some of the legal terms used in your response, and other concerns.

"Following the issuance of any order, there is a 30-day period in which parties of record may request reconsideration of the Commission's decisions."

Can I request reconsideration? Who are the parties of record? I hope that you are not making it sound like I can make a request when leagally I am not a "party of record". That would be deceptive!

"No party in this case opposed increasing the NGV rate and the majority of parties, including Questar ..." Who was invited to participate in the case. Do you really believe that people, such as myself, would not have opposed charging more than the going Questar customer rate? It appears that Docket 08-057-21 was used as the "bait and switch" red herring to keep our voices out of 07-057-13. You knew people were waiting for 08-057-21, and that remains yet unaddressed, and NVG service and pricing has been set. This is a perfect example of Chicago style politics at the PSC level. Average people being hurt by shady deals in dark, smoke filled rooms! The two dockets overlapped too much to decide one without the other.

"The Commission recognizes and appreciates the contribution of natural gas vehicles to cleaner air and energy security"

The ruling in no possible way supports this statement! Any moron will understand that the proposed prices will absolutely kill CNG use for transportation. CNG demand will decrease and gasoline will increase. If is much easier and convient to use gasoline. People driving bi-fuel cars will abandon CNG, and very few new NGV's will be purchased. Those that invested in NGV, and who expected it would take many years at the current prices to recover the thousands of dollars they invested, feel duped and will look for the fastest and easiest way to sell those vehicles out of state and try to recover their investment.

It is my hope that this tunnel vision decision will be revisited simply because it is wrong. As an average citizen and Questar rate payer, I feel that all of the legal crap and formal procedure make it difficult to truly be heard. I'm sure that my letter will be posted as a legal CYA step of the process. But I truly don't think that my voice matters compared to the power of large utilities and special interests who know how to play the game.

Regards,
Scott Grayston

Windows Live™: Keep your life in sync.
http://windowslive.com/explore?ocid=TEXT_TAGLM_WL_t1_allup_explore_012009

RECEIVED
2009 JAN 13 P 4: 35
UTAH PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION

160210